

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,602	08/19/2003	Fumio Futami	1344.1123	2838	
21171 STAAS % IIA			EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			CURS, NA	CURS, NATHAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/642,602	FUTAMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this some

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE	REPLY FILED 18 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a)	\square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have tunder set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). NDMENTS
3. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4 □	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \square will not be entered, or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 16.
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
(The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. IEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
1. 🛛	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the response of 18 December 2007, the Applicant argues against the combination of the admitted prior art (APA) and Kajiya. The Applicant correctly notes what the Examiner acknowledged was missing from APA, but argues that Kajiya does not disclose the missing feature, stating that the driving signal of Kajiya col. 3 lines 55-59 is simply the same as a driving signal superimposed with a low-frequency signal that has been input in an MZ modulator. However, Kajiya col. 3 lines 55-59, which isn't cited for the combination, is related to the issue of operation point drift of an optical modulator; a topic that is not material to either the claimed subject matter or the combination. In fact, APA is modified in the combination based on the teaching of Kajiya col. 1 lines 18-65, which discloses how a doubled modulation factor for an MZ modulator driving signal produces a modulator output having twice the frequency of the driving signal, where the resulting voltage magnitude of the doubled modulation factor driving signal corresponds to the voltage difference in 1 period (i.e. n/2 period, where n=2) of the transmission characteristic of the modulator.

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2